

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/693,186	DINH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(3) ____.

(2) Rajiv Yadav (Appl. Rep.).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n one

Claims discussed:

27-28

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to cancel new claims 27-28 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)